

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/071,927	02/07/2002	Arthur A. Krause	56705CIP-3	9844
75	90 10/02/2002			
DENNIS H. LAMBERT & ASSOCIATES 7000 View Park Drive Burke, VA 22015			EXAMINER	
			HALE, GLORIA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/02/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Antina Camana

Application No. 10/071,927 Applicant(s)

Krause

Office Action Summary Examiner

Gloria Hale

Art Unit **3765**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply						
	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE _	3	_MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.							
	- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
- Any rep	by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	patent term adjustment. 366 37 GTT 1.704(a).						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is non-final	l.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under $\it Ex~par$						
-	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🔲	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)	\Box objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be he	ıld in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□ a	pproved \cdot b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office ac	tion.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗀	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)}.				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
. —	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
		priority under	35 U.S.(C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
_	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:							
		-, •					

Application/Control Number: 10/071927 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is longer than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/071927 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franklin

(2,396,842).

In regard to claims 1, 19 and 20, Franklin discloses a shirt (not numbered) with a neckband (5), a

collar (C or 4) and the usual fastener (not numbered) wherein the neckband (5) is stretchable and

yields in at least a circumferential direction when a tensile force is applied due to the plurality of

holes (Z) therein. The holes (Z) elongate when a tensile force is applied longitudinally to the

neckband and thereby enabling the neckband (6) to stretch or yield in the circumferential direction

as claimed in claim 19. The collar (c or 4) material of Franklin is that which is used in a

convention shirt which is woven and which inherently includes warp and west threads and the

holes (Z) have reinforcing stitching (S) around their perimeter to prevent unraveling of the threads

as claimed in claim 20. (See Franklin-col. 1, line 50 - col. 3, line 22 and figures 1-3).

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine

grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Application/Control Number: 10/071927 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,686 and claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,081,926. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the same extendable collar structure with the bias material and holes within the neckband used to elongate the collar and neckband are claimed therein.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose shirt neckbands which elongate.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is (703) 308-1282.

Gloria Hale

Primary Patent Examiner- AU 3765